

## Effect of grassland management on the age and reproduction structure of *Helianthemum nummularium* and *Lotus corniculatus* populations

Vliv obhospodařování travního porostu na populační strukturu druhů *Helianthemum nummularium* a *Lotus corniculatus*

Peter Poschlod<sup>1</sup>, John Hoffmann<sup>1</sup> & Markus Bernhardt-Römermann<sup>1,2</sup>

Dedicated to the memory of Leoš Klimeš

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Botany, Faculty for Biology and Preclinical Medicine, University of Regensburg, D-93040 Regensburg, Germany, e-mail: peter.pschlod@biologie.uni-regensburg.de; <sup>2</sup>Institute for Ecology, Evolution and Diversity, University of Frankfurt, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Poschlod P., Hoffmann J. & Bernhardt-Römermann M. (2011): Effect of grassland management on the age and reproduction structure of *Helianthemum nummularium* and *Lotus corniculatus* populations. – Preslia 83: 421–435.

Dry calcareous grasslands are among the most species-rich and endangered ecosystems in the Central-European landscape. They are of anthropogenic origin and mainly a result of grazing by domestic animals. Due to land-use changes in the last century, particularly in the 1960s, they were often abandoned or afforested. Therefore, in 1975 long-term experiments were started in the southwestern Germany (Baden-Württemberg) to determine the effectiveness of alternative management treatments in maintaining grasslands and their species composition. The aim of this study was to assess the effect of grazing (reference management treatment), mowing once a year, mulching twice a year, mulching every second year, burning once a year and succession (abandonment) on the population structure (in terms of density, age structure, reproduction mode) and seasonal germination niche of a dwarf shrub, *Helianthemum nummularium*, and a herbaceous plant, *Lotus corniculatus*. To study the age structure, annual ring analyses were applied. The classification of the reproduction mode, either by seed or vegetatively, was carried out by differentiating the central under-ground organ either as a root (in this case the individual has established from seed) or rhizome (the individual has developed from clonal multiplication). The seasonal germination niche was derived from the age structure. Management clearly affected population density and age structure. Highest density of individuals was found in the grazing and the lowest in the succession treatment. In the mulching every second year and succession treatments populations were senescent. Management also affected reproduction mode in *H. nummularium*. Regeneration by seed was especially enhanced by mowing and burning but was inhibited by mulching twice and succession. In the latter treatments *H. nummularium* reproduced only clonally. *Helianthemum nummularium* germinated mainly in autumn but burning by breaking the dormancy of seeds initiated germination in spring. A similar pattern was detected in *L. corniculatus*: burning increased germination rate in spring. Comparing population characters (density, age, reproduction mode) to the traditionally used grazing treatment, mowing was most similar and for *L. corniculatus* additionally burning. This is in contrast to the assessment of the vegetation of the management treatments where mowing and mulching twice per year maintain a similar floristic composition. Finally, the analysis of the population structure revealed important mechanisms behind population and vegetation dynamics.

**Key words:** abandonment, ageing of plant populations, burning, calcareous grassland, clonality, conservation, demography, grazing, mowing, mulching, population structure, population viability, seasonal germination niche

## Introduction

Calcareous grasslands are among the most species-rich ecosystems in the Central-European landscape. They have developed as a result of different land-use practices such as either grazing – in most cases – or more recently in a few cases, mowing or a combination of both. Fire was used as another management treatment (Poschlod et al. 2009a) since at least the period of the Roman Empire (Poschlod & Baumann 2010). Many calcareous grasslands originated from abandoned arable fields in the 19th and beginning of 20th century (Karlík & Poschlod 2009). However, since the 1960s, grassland areas have markedly declined in size and number due to agricultural intensification (Wallis DeVries et al. 2002). They are only used today for grazing sheep and rarely goats, but not cattle.

This is also true for the Swabian Jurassic Mountains where calcareous grasslands were once widespread (Mattern et al. 1980). In the 1970s, long-term experiments on grassland management were started in the Swabian Jurassic Mountains and the Black Forest (Baden-Württemberg, southwestern Germany) in order to determine the effect of alternative methods of management, like mowing, mulching or burning rather than grazing, on species composition and species richness (Schreiber 2009a, b). To assess the value of the different types of management for nature conservation, species composition and richness were compared between alternative treatments and grazed sites. Moog et al. (2002) and Poschlod et al. (2009b) showed that only mowing and mulching twice per year resulted in a similar diversity to grazing.

This approach, however, does not provide a thorough understanding of the mechanisms resulting in the changes in vegetation. Therefore, functional trait analyses were used to reveal the processes of vegetation changes using the functional identities of favoured or suppressed species (Kahmen et al. 2002, Kahmen & Poschlod 2008a). Römermann et al. (2009) showed that mowing and mulching applied at different frequencies may increase the dominance of clonal species. A comparable affect was recorded in burning treatments by Kahmen & Poschlod (2008a).

In addition, management that favours species with the ability for clonal resprouting also favours those with germination niches appropriate for the current environmental conditions. The denser and thicker the litter layer in autumn (e.g. mulching late in the year, no treatment resulting in succession), the fewer seedlings were detected in autumn (Kahmen & Poschlod 2008b). Burning at the end of winter favoured species with physiological dormancy (Schreiber et al. 2009b, Drobnič et al. 2011).

An alternative but less frequently applied method used to assess the effect of management and understand the processes affecting vegetation and population dynamics is the analysis of the population structure of target species (Rabotnov 1950, Dietz & Ullmann 1998, Schweingruber & Poschlod 2005). However, in all recent studies only assessments of age or size classes are used (Oostermeijer et al. 1994, 1996, Valverde & Silvertown 1998, Bühler & Schmid 2001, Colling et al. 2002, Lienert et al. 2002, Bissel et al. 2004, Endels et al. 2004). Such an approach only allows a very rough and vague assessment of how well populations may regenerate. To understand how management affects the regeneration process, e.g. in which season a species regenerates by seed, or to understand if a clonal species regenerates by seed or vegetatively, populations should be analysed based on their age and individual regeneration status. Schweingruber & Poschlod (2005) demonstrated how to use anatomical features like annual rings to determine the age of 800

woody and herbaceous species of plants in the Central-European flora. Although a single study of the population structure may not replace a demographic study, it can give a deep insight into the extent environmental processes, like disturbance by different management treatments, which may affect reproduction, regeneration and senescence of a population (Watt 1955, Kerster 1968, Dietz & Ullmann 1998, Schweingruber & Poschlod 2005).

Therefore, in this paper an analysis of the population structure and regeneration status of two species in a calcareous grassland, which is part of the grassland management experiments Baden-Württemberg (Schreiber et al. 2009a) is used to address the following questions: (i) Are population density and age structure of the dwarf shrub *Helianthemum nummularium* and the herbaceous plant *Lotus corniculatus* affected by different land use or conservation management practices? (ii) Do different management treatments affect the reproduction mode of *H. nummularium*? (iii) Is it possible to relate differences in the seed germination periods of the two species studied to different management practices?

## Materials and methods

### *Study area and management treatments*

This study was carried out in 2002 in a calcareous grassland (*Gentiano-Koelerietum* s.l.) at St. Johann, in the Swabian Jurassic Mountains in Baden-Württemberg (southwestern Germany; 760 m a.s.l., mean annual precipitation 1000 mm, mean temperature 7.6 °C; Schreiber 2009b). Up to 1974, the site was subject to low-intensity grazing by sheep. Since 1975 the suitability of alternative management forms was assessed relative to traditional grazing. The objective was to keep the grasslands open or, in other words, to suppress the establishment of trees and shrubs but maintain the species richness and composition (Schreiber 2009a). In this study the following management treatments were used: grazing (by sheep; GR), mowing once per year (end of August/beginning of September; MO), mulching twice per year (end of June/beginning of July, end of August/beginning of September; 2M), mulching late every second year (end of August/beginning of September; M2), burning once per year (March/beginning of April; 1B) and natural succession (S), which is abandonment. Mowing once per year was the most frequently used alternative management when grazing decreased due to cheap wool imports in the 1970s and has virtually ceased due to financial restrictions. Instead, mulching is now recommended in compliance with the common agricultural policy of the European Commission.

### *Study species and regeneration*

The species studied were *Helianthemum nummularium* subsp. *obscurum* (Čelak.) Holub and *Lotus corniculatus* L. Both are typical and common species in calcareous grasslands but differ in their modes of regeneration. Furthermore, both species produce clearly definable annual rings (Dietz & Fattorini 2002, Schweingruber & Poschlod 2005).

*Helianthemum nummularium* subsp. *obscurum* is a clonal dwarf shrub. Clonal offspring and individuals established from seed were easily identified either by their growth form or the anatomy of the under-ground organ (Fig. 1). Individuals that developed from seed had a vertically growing taproot, which in cross-section lacks pith. Each seedling consists of only a primary shoot and primary root. After overwintering new shoots are pro-




Fig. 1. – Root system of *Helianthemum nummularium*. I – individual established from seed, 2-years old with primary taproot; II – individuals established by clonal multiplication with rhizomes and adventitious roots (A, B: 3-years old; C: 2-years old; D: 1-year old individuals); III – cross-section of the rhizome with pith in the centre and annual rings; IV – cross-section of the root collar without pith in the centre and with visible annual rings.

duced in the form of horizontal above- or below-ground branches from the base of the primary shoot. On below-ground stems adventitious roots may develop. Branches can separate from the parent plant producing physically independent clonal offspring (ramet), which can be recognized by horizontal below-ground stem with adventitious roots (rhizome), which in cross-section lacks pith. These branches may also form new shoots. Annual rings are clearly visible in cross-sections of the shoot base, rhizome, root collar (transition zone between root and shoot) and taproot (Fig. 1).

*Lotus corniculatus* is a non-clonal herbaceous plant with a central vertical tap root. Annual rings in cross-sections of the shoot base or root collar are easily measured (Fig. 2). Regeneration is by seed only. The seeds of both species have a hard seed coat and undergo a physical dormancy (Thanos et al. 1992, Baskin & Baskin 1998).




Fig. 2. – Root system of *Lotus corniculatus* with primary taproot. I – 4-years old plant; II – 5-years old plant; III – cross-section of the shoot base with pith in the centre and annual rings; IV – cross-section of the root collar without pith in the centre and with annual rings.

### *Seed germination*

Soil was excavated in June 2002. If seedlings from the same year were found, germination may have occurred in spring or over the whole season. If no seedlings were found, but only one-year old juveniles, it is assumed that germination occurred in autumn (see e.g. Kahmen & Poschlod 2008b).

### *Data collection*

In each treatment (for a detailed description see Schreiber 2009a) 12 to 44 plots 1 m<sup>2</sup> in size were randomly established. The number of plots sampled depended on the density of individuals per m<sup>2</sup> in each treatment. The aim was to analyse at least 100 individuals per treatment. For *H. nummularium* the following numbers of individuals were sampled: GR – 259, MO – 142, 2M – 210, M2 – 132, 1B – 114, S – 56. For *L. corniculatus* the numbers were: GR – 219, MO – 116, 2M – 152; M2 – 41, 1B – 223, S – 4.

On all 1 m<sup>2</sup> plots all individuals (including seedlings) of the respective species were cautiously excavated and separated from the soil. In the case of *H. nummularium* an individual was defined as a physically independent plant either established from seed (generative regeneration – with a taproot) or by clonal multiplication (with a rhizome) with no traces indicating that the rhizome was severed during excavation. Plants were kept in 30% ethanol solution until the origin (generative regeneration or clonal multiplication) and age were determined.

To assess the age of each individual thin cross-sections of either, the shoot base, root collar or rhizome were prepared and stained with FCA (fuchsin, chryosidine, astral blue). The method followed Schweingruber & Poschlod (2005).

The following parameters were assessed: (i) number of individuals established per plot by generative regeneration or clonal multiplication (only *H. nummularium*) and (ii) the age of each individual based on the number of annual rings.

#### Data analyses

The effects of different types of management on population density (number of individuals per m<sup>2</sup>), and mean population age were tested using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with subsequent Tukey-tests (data for both species were normally distributed with homogeneous variances).

To compare the age structures recorded in the six different types of management, the data were separated into five age classes: (i) seedlings; (ii) 1-year old plants; (iii) 2-years old plants; (iv) 3–10-years old plants; and (v) plants older > 10 years. This classification represents crucial stages in the life-cycle of individual plants; seedlings and one-year old individuals have just become established and first flowering occurs in the second year. The class 3–10 years contained adult plants (about 80% flowering), while plants of the class >10 years can be characterized as “old”. (Schweingruber & Poschlod 2005). Because age-class data were not normally distributed, differences between treatments were tested using Kruskal-Wallis H-tests with subsequent Mann-Whitney U-tests. P-values of the U-test were corrected for multiple comparisons using the procedure proposed by Holm (1979). This method (sometimes also called Bonferroni-Holm correction) is known to be less restrictive than the frequently applied Bonferroni correction, and is therefore more suitable for ecological studies.

All statistical tests were done using R 12.0.1 (R Development Core Team 2010).

## Results

### *Effects of the different types of management on population density and age structure*

The type of management affected the number of plants per m<sup>2</sup> and mean age of the populations of both species significantly (Tables 1, 2). For *H. nummularium* the highest densities per m<sup>2</sup> were recorded in the grazing treatment, followed by mowing and the other treatments (Table 1). The lowest density was recorded in the succession and the mulching every second year treatments. In contrast, the mean age of the population was highest in the succession treatment, followed by mulching every second year and burning treatments. In addition to population density and mean population age, the age structure was also significantly affected by management (Table 3).

Table 1. – Effect of different types of management on density and age of individuals of *Helianthemum nummularium*. (i) Results of ANOVA for all individuals per m<sup>2</sup> and separately for plants established by clonal multiplication (veg) and generative regeneration (gen) are shown: df – degrees of freedom, F-values and corresponding levels of significance (\*\* P < 0.001). (ii) Results of post-hoc Tukey-tests of the effects of individual treatments; those that do not differ significantly (P < 0.05) are indicated by the same superscripts following means. SE – standard errors, N – number of plots sampled. Management treatments: GR – grazing; 2M – mulching twice a year; MO – mowing with removing of litter once a year; M2 – mulching every second year; 1B – burning once a year; SU – succession.

ANOVA	Plants/m <sup>2</sup>						Age	
	Gen & veg		Veg		Gen		df	F-value
	df	F-value	df	F-value	df	F-value		
	5	27.51***	5	31.27***	5	13.02***	5	30.47***
Treatment	Mean±SE	N	Mean ± SE	N	Mean±SE	N	Mean±SE	N
GR	21.6±2.12 <sup>a</sup>	12	15.7±1.85 <sup>a</sup>	12	5.9±0.76 <sup>a</sup>	12	3.6±0.20 <sup>ac</sup>	12
2M	9.5±1.38 <sup>bd</sup>	15	8.5±1.26 <sup>b</sup>	15	0.9±0.30 <sup>b</sup>	15	3.5±0.34 <sup>ac</sup>	15
MO	13.1±1.51 <sup>b</sup>	16	6.3±0.96 <sup>b</sup>	16	6.9±1.18 <sup>a</sup>	16	3.2±0.18 <sup>a</sup>	16
M2	3.6±0.54 <sup>c</sup>	28	1.9±0.35 <sup>c</sup>	28	1.7±0.38 <sup>b</sup>	28	6.4±0.42 <sup>b</sup>	25
1B	7.1±1.63 <sup>cd</sup>	16	2.5±0.56 <sup>c</sup>	16	4.6±1.12 <sup>a</sup>	16	5.5±0.82 <sup>bc</sup>	14
SU	3.3±0.73 <sup>c</sup>	17	2.5±0.61 <sup>c</sup>	17	0.8±0.32 <sup>b</sup>	17	10.9±0.69 <sup>d</sup>	13

Table 2. – Effect of different types of management on density and age of individuals of *Lotus corniculatus*. (i) Results of ANOVA are shown: df – degrees of freedom, F-values and corresponding levels of significance (\*\* P < 0.001). (ii) Results of post-hoc Tukey-tests of the effects of individual treatments; those that do not differ significantly (P < 0.05) are indicated by the same superscripts following means. SE – standard errors, N – number of sampled plots. Management treatments: GR – grazing; 2M – mulching twice a year; MO – mowing with removing of litter once a year; M2 – mulching every second year; 1B – burning once a year; SU – succession.

ANOVA	Plants/m <sup>2</sup>				Age	
	df		F-value		df	F-value
	5	38.18 ***	5	6.53 ***		
Treatment	Mean ± SE	N	Mean ± SE	N		
GR	13.9±2.92 <sup>a</sup>	12	4.0±0.48 <sup>ab</sup>	12		
2M	7.7±1.73 <sup>b</sup>	15	3.2±0.31 <sup>ac</sup>	14		
MO	3.8±0.44 <sup>c</sup>	40	3.1±0.25 <sup>ac</sup>	37		
M2	1.3±0.46 <sup>cd</sup>	29	5.1±0.58 <sup>b</sup>	10		
1B	13.9±1.78 <sup>a</sup>	16	2.2±0.15 <sup>c</sup>	16		
SU	0.1±0.05 <sup>d</sup>	44	4.8±1.42 <sup>b</sup>	3		

The highest population density of *L. corniculatus* was recorded in the grazing and burning treatments (Table 2) and the lowest in the succession and mulching every second year treatments, in which only a few individuals survived. Mean age was highest in the mulching every second year, succession and grazing treatments.

The type of management affected the age structure of the *L. corniculatus* populations significantly. Regeneration by seed was strongly reduced by mulching every second year and did not occur in the succession treatment. The population in the first treatment was clearly overaged, but only four individuals of different ages were recorded in the latter treatment. In all other treatments most of the individuals or ramets were 3–10 years old




Fig. 3. – Comparison of age structures of *Helianthemum nummularium* in the six treatments. Mean numbers of individuals per m<sup>2</sup> are given separately for plants established by clonal multiplication (grey) and generative regeneration (black).

(Table 3). In *H. nummularium*, however, reduced regeneration by seed was associated with an increase in clonal reproduction (Table 3, Fig. 3).

#### Effects of type of management on clonal reproduction in *Helianthemum nummularium*

Treatments significantly affected the type of regeneration in *H. nummularium*. Generative regeneration was highest in the mowing, burning and grazing treatments and did not occur in the mulching twice and succession treatments (Table 1, Fig. 3). The youngest individual established from seed in the mulching twice treatment was 3 years old. All other individuals established from seed were between 6 and 10 years old (Table 3, Fig. 3).

Table 3. – Effect of the different types of management on density of different age classes of *Helianthemum nummularium* and *Lotus corniculatus*. (i) Results of Kruskal-Wallis H-tests. Age classes: S – Seedlings; 1-year old plants; 2-years old plants; 3–10 years old plants; >10 – plants older than 10 years. Veg – plants established by clonal multiplication, gen – plants established by generative regeneration. \*\* P < 0.01; \*\*\* P < 0.001; n.s. – not significant. (ii) Post-hoc U-tests with adjusted P-values for multiple comparisons. Treatments that do not differ significantly are indicated by the same superscript following means. SE – standard error, N – number of plots sampled. Management treatments: GR – grazing; 2M – mulching twice a year; MO – mowing with removing of litter once a year; M2 – mulching every second year; 1B – burning once a year; SU – succession.

		<i>Helianthemum nummularium</i>				<i>Lotus corniculatus</i>			
		Plants/m <sup>2</sup> (gen & veg)		Plants/m <sup>2</sup> (veg)		Plants/m <sup>2</sup> (gen)		Plants/m <sup>2</sup>	
Age class	df	chi <sup>2</sup> -value		chi <sup>2</sup> -value		chi <sup>2</sup> -value		chi <sup>2</sup> -value	
S	5	–		–		22.77***		72.87***	
1	5	66.89***		76.50***		69.15***		73.68***	
2	5	63.92***		80.88***		40.16***		71.92***	
3–10	5	42.67**		41.15***		23.99***		91.75***	
> 10	5	17.17**		18.62**		10.24 n.s.		5.96 n.s.	
Treatment		Mean ± SE	N	Mean ± SE	N	Mean ± SE	N	Mean ± SE	N
S	GR	–	–	–	–	0.2±0.18 <sup>a</sup>	12	0.6±0.34 <sup>a</sup>	12
	2M	–	–	–	–	0.0±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	15	0.5±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	15
	MO	–	–	–	–	0.0±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	16	0.8±0.16 <sup>a</sup>	40
	M2	–	–	–	–	0.0±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	28	0.1±0.05 <sup>b</sup>	29
	1B	–	–	–	–	0.9±0.38 <sup>b</sup>	16	3.8±0.83 <sup>c</sup>	16
	SU	–	–	–	–	0.0±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	17	0.0±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	44
1	GR	2.7±0.54 <sup>a</sup>	12	1.5±0.44 <sup>a</sup>	12	1.2±0.34 <sup>a</sup>	12	2.2±0.89 <sup>ac</sup>	12
	2M	1.9±0.27 <sup>a</sup>	15	1.9±0.27 <sup>a</sup>	15	0.0±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	15	1.2±0.38 <sup>ac</sup>	15
	MO	2.5±0.38 <sup>a</sup>	16	0.0±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	16	2.5±0.38 <sup>a</sup>	16	0.4±0.11 <sup>a</sup>	40
	M2	0.0±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	28	0.0±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	28	0.0±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	28	0.0±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	29
	1B	1.2±0.35 <sup>b</sup>	16	0.0±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	16	1.2±0.35 <sup>a</sup>	16	3.4±0.75 <sup>c</sup>	16
	SU	0.0±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	17	0.0±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	17	0.0±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	17	0.0±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	44
2	GR	4.2±0.59 <sup>a</sup>	12	3.8±0.62 <sup>a</sup>	12	0.5±0.15 <sup>a</sup>	12	3.3±1.04 <sup>a</sup>	12
	2M	2.2±0.40 <sup>ab</sup>	15	2.2±0.40 <sup>a</sup>	15	0.0±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	15	1.3±0.41 <sup>a</sup>	15
	MO	1.8±0.41 <sup>bd</sup>	16	0.2±0.14 <sup>b</sup>	16	2.1±0.60 <sup>a</sup>	16	0.3±0.09 <sup>b</sup>	40
	M2	0.1±0.07 <sup>c</sup>	28	0.0±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	28	0.1±0.07 <sup>b</sup>	28	0.2±0.12 <sup>b</sup>	29
	1B	0.5±0.22 <sup>cd</sup>	16	0.0±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	16	0.5±0.22 <sup>ab</sup>	16	2.2±0.44 <sup>a</sup>	16
	SU	0.0±0.00 <sup>c</sup>	17	0.0±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	17	0.0±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	17	0.0±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	44
3–10	GR	13.9±1.69 <sup>a</sup>	12	10.4±1.37 <sup>a</sup>	12	3.5±0.60 <sup>a</sup>	12	7.8±1.19 <sup>a</sup>	12
	2M	5.1±1.12 <sup>bc</sup>	15	4.2±1.06 <sup>bc</sup>	15	0.9±0.30 <sup>bc</sup>	15	4.7±1.20 <sup>ab</sup>	15
	MO	8.1±1.07 <sup>ab</sup>	16	5.9±0.86 <sup>b</sup>	16	2.1±0.69 <sup>ab</sup>	16	2.2±0.29 <sup>b</sup>	40
	M2	2.9±0.45 <sup>c</sup>	28	1.7±0.32 <sup>c</sup>	28	1.2±0.31 <sup>bc</sup>	28	1.0±0.35 <sup>c</sup>	29
	1B	3.8±0.89 <sup>bc</sup>	16	2.2±0.48 <sup>c</sup>	16	1.5±0.52 <sup>bc</sup>	16	4.6±0.70 <sup>a</sup>	16
	SU	1.8±0.52 <sup>c</sup>	17	1.5±0.44 <sup>c</sup>	17	0.3±0.19 <sup>c</sup>	17	0.1±0.05 <sup>d</sup>	44
>10	GR	0.5±0.26 <sup>ab</sup>	12	0.0±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	12	0.5±0.26 <sup>a</sup>	12	0.1±0.08 <sup>a</sup>	12
	2M	0.2±0.11 <sup>a</sup>	15	0.2±0.11 <sup>a</sup>	15	0.0±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	15	0.0±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	15
	MO	0.2±0.14 <sup>a</sup>	16	0.1±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	16	0.1±0.12 <sup>a</sup>	16	0.0±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	40
	M2	0.6±0.22 <sup>ab</sup>	28	0.2±0.09 <sup>a</sup>	28	0.4±0.16 <sup>a</sup>	28	0.0±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	29
	1B	0.8±0.27 <sup>ab</sup>	16	0.2±0.14 <sup>a</sup>	16	0.5±0.20 <sup>a</sup>	16	0.1±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	16
	SU	1.5±0.39 <sup>b</sup>	17	1.1±0.34 <sup>a</sup>	17	0.5±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	17	0.0±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	44




Fig. 4. – Comparison of the age structures of *Lotus corniculatus* in the six treatments. Mean numbers of individuals per m<sup>2</sup> are shown.

Clonal offspring were detached from the mother plant when the ramet was only one year old (Table 3, Fig. 3). In the succession treatment the youngest physically independent ramet was 6 years old.

#### *Effects of management treatment on the time of germination*

Germination in both species occurred in all treatments in autumn. One exception was *H. nummularium* in the burning treatment (Fig. 3) in which most of the seeds germinated in spring. Germination of *L. corniculatus* was recorded in both autumn and spring, but was significantly higher in spring in the burning and mowing treatments. Germination in both species was strongly reduced for mulching every second year and succession (Fig. 4).

## Discussion

### *Effects of type of management on population density and age structure*

Management had an obvious effect on population density and mean age. The total number of individuals decreased with decreasing frequency of disturbance. In contrast, mean age increased with decreasing frequency of disturbance. Differences in population density caused by management are also recorded for other grassland plants like *Primula veris* and *Succisa pratensis* (Bühler & Schmid 2001, Endels et al. 2004). Total plant density of *P. veris* was higher in populations managed by mowing or ditch clearing than in unmanaged populations at the edges of forests (Endels et al. 2004). Densities of seedlings and vegetative adults of *S. pratensis* are higher in mown than in grazed fens (Bühler & Schmid 2001). This supports the hypothesis that many open habitat species need small gaps for regeneration by seed. A denser vegetation structure resulting from less frequent management or abandonment, however, often results not only in lower population densities but also in older populations (Oostermeijer et al. 1994).

The occurrence of senescent populations in less frequently managed or abandoned grasslands is reported for several non-clonal species like *Gentiana pneumonanthe* (Oostermeijer et al. 1994) and *Trollius europaeus* (Schweingruber & Poschlod 2005). These populations are referred to as either senescent (Endels et al. 2004) or senile (Oostermeijer et al. 1994). A reduction in the numbers of seedlings and juveniles relative to the number of adults is also reported in populations of *Primula vulgaris* in more closed forests (Valverde & Silvertown 1998). However, not only abandonment but also fertilization may affect the ageing of a population. In *Scorzonera humilis* senescent populations are found in more fertile grasslands where competition prevents the establishment of seedlings (Colling et al. 2002). This again is related to density, which was lower in old than in regenerating populations.

The question is if populations eventually become extinct when growing in unsuitable conditions like abandonment. For *L. corniculatus*, this is expected because the production of offspring ceases; even though single individuals can survive for up to 14 years. However, how long will a clonal species like *H. nummularium* survive? Will it go extinct because clones become senile or the conditions become unsuitable for growth? At the study site, the latter is more probable since succession in calcareous grasslands to closed shrub or forests after abandonment is rapid and *H. nummularium* is unable to survive when shaded (Kiefer 1998, Poschlod et al. 1998).

### *Type of management and clonal reproduction in *Helianthemum nummularium**

The more frequent disturbance by management (like mowing or mulching), the lower the probability that a species produces seeds. Therefore, only clonally reproducing species survive under such conditions (Kleijn & Steinger 2002). Phenological surveys of calcareous grasslands have shown that *H. nummularium* starts flowering in June and maturing seeds in July (Grunicke & Poschlod 1991). Seed dispersal starts at the earliest at the end of July. Therefore, management by mowing or mulching twice with the first cut at the end of June/beginning of July prevents successful seed set and accounts for the dominance of clonal reproduction under this type of management. Later flowering and successful seed set after the first cut is prevented by the second cut. The individuals within the population in this type of treatment are survivors from former seed regeneration and are with two

exceptions at least 7 years old. Their continued occurrence 20 years after this form of management started maybe due to regeneration from a persistent seed bank although seed dispersal or successful seed set cannot be ruled out. Comparative studies of the seed bank along a successional sere have shown that the seeds of *H. nummularium* may persist for up to 20 years (Kiefer 1998, Poschlod et al. 1998).

Clonal multiplication is also enhanced in the grazing and succession treatments. Similar to the preceding case, this may be due to frequent disturbance and the selective removal of generative reproductive structures by sheep (Pakeman 2004). Kahmen & Poschlod (1998) show that an increased clumping in *Arnica montana* populations in abandoned *Nardus stricta* grasslands is caused by clonal growth but no regeneration by seed. Similarly Bissel et al. (2004) claim there is a shift from generative to vegetative reproduction in *Serratula tinctoria*. As shown experimentally for species occurring either in forests or abandoned land, lack of regeneration can often be related to microsite limitation (Eriksson & Ehrlén 1992, Eriksson & Froeborg 1996). Prach & Pyšek (1994) and Bernhardt-Römermann et al. (2008) report an increase of clonal plants in several successional seres (as long as sites redevelop to forest, Dölle et al. 2008).

#### *Management treatment and seasonal germination niche*

The seasonal germination niche was affected by the type of management. Seeds with a hard seed coat and/or physical dormancy either germinate directly after seed dispersal in autumn when the seed coat is not completely hardened or when high temperatures soften the seed coat (Baskin & Baskin 1998). This also occurs in *H. oelandicum*, which is closely related to *H. nummularium* (Widén 1982). Seeds were capable of germinating immediately after maturation. Therefore, management that does not result in gaps in the sward canopy but in an accumulation of a thick litter layer may result in reduced germination rates and seedling numbers as observed in the mulching every second year and succession treatments. This confirms the findings of Kahmen & Poschlod (2008b) who showed that a dense litter layer decreases the germination and seedling establishment rate of species that mainly germinate in autumn while those that only germinate in spring are unaffected.

However, not only gaps but also fire may increase the germination rate in certain species. In both species germination on the burned plot occurred in spring, which accords with their physical dormancy. In the family *Cistaceae* the effect of heat on germination was reported for several Mediterranean *Cistus* (Roy & Sonié 1992, Herranz et al. 1999, Moreira et al. 2010) and two *Helianthemum* species (Perez-García & González-Benito 2006). The increase in the germination rates of *L. corniculatus* in spring in the mowing treatment and little germination recorded in other treatments may be explained by the fact that *L. corniculatus* not only produces hard coated but also soft coated seeds (Jones & Turkington 1986).

#### **Conclusions**

A comparison of the treatments shows that for *H. nummularium* only mowing results in a similar population structure (density, age, proportion of seed to clonal regeneration) to grazing. For *L. corniculatus*, burning is also recommended as it is equivalent to grazing. A comparison of vegetation composition, however, revealed that mowing and mulching twice was similar to grazing (Poschlod et al. 2009b). The population structure analysis

revealed a different pattern to the floristic analysis. This is especially striking in the reproduction mode in *H. nummularium*. In contrast to the floristic analysis, the assessment of the population structure gives a deeper insight into the processes acting at the population level which, however, may finally result in the future in another vegetation pattern.

Based on this study a more frequent use of age-structure analysis based on the annual rings of woody and herbaceous species is recommended for studies in nature conservation. Even if such analyses are destructive, they may allow deeper insights into demographic processes, which cannot be replaced by simple classification of age classes. Especially for clonal plants, it is stressed that annual-ring analysis should be used as it allows the reconstruction of the mechanism of population dynamics based on a single sample.

### Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Karl-Friedrich Schreiber for setting up the grassland management experiments at Baden-Württemberg and especially Helmut Länge for maintaining the management treatments. The study was financially supported by the Ministry of Agriculture in Baden-Württemberg (MLR) and the Federal Agency for the development of rural regions in Baden-Württemberg (LEL). We thank Stephan Krebs and the LEL for the permanent support of these long-term experiments, Fritz Schweingruber for earlier discussions and Tony Dixon for improving our English.

### Souhrn

Suché výpencové trávníky náleží mezi druhově nejbohatší a současně nejohroženější ekosystémy středoevropské krajiny. Vznikly činností člověka a byly udržovány především pastvou. Kvůli změnám v obhospodařování krajiny v minulém století, obzvlášť v šedesátých letech, byly často opuštěny nebo zalesněny. Proto byl v roce 1975 založen dlouhodobý experiment v jihozápadním Německu (Bádensko-Württembersko) za účelem testovat různé alternativní způsoby obhospodařování pro udržení trávníků a jejich druhového složení. Cílem této studie bylo zjistit vliv různého obhospodařování (pokračující pastva, kosení jednou v roce, mulčování dvakrát v roce, mulčování každý druhý rok, vypalování jednou v roce a opuštění) na populační strukturu (hustota, věková struktura, způsob reprodukce) a sezónní průběh klíčení u polokeře devaterníku penízkovitého (*Helianthemum nummularium*) a bylinky štírovníku růžkatého (*Lotus corniculatus*). Pro studium věkové struktury byla využita analýza letokruhů. Způsob reprodukce (generativní nebo vegetativní) byl určován u devaterníku podle morfologie podzemního orgánu: v případě, že se jednalo o kořen, jedinec vznikl ze semene, pokud o oddenek, jedinec vznikl klonálním růstem. Sezónní průběh klíčení byl určen podle věkové struktury populace. Obhospodařování ovlivnilo populační hustotu a věkovou strukturu. Nejvyšší hustota jedinců byla nalezena na pasených plochách, nejnižší na opuštěných plochách. Na plochách mulčovaných každým druhým rokem a na plochách opuštěných byly populace složeny jen ze starých jedinců. Způsob obhospodařování ovlivnil také způsob obnovování populace *H. nummularium*. Generativní regenerace převažovala v kosených a vypalovaných plochách, ale byla potlačena v mulčovaných a opuštěných plochách, kde se druh rozmnožoval pouze klonálním růstem. Devaterník klíčil většinou na podzim, ale vypalování mělo za následek ukončení dormance a klíčení na jaře. Podobný efekt, zvýšení klíčení na jaře ve vypalovaných plochách, byl zjištěn u štírovníku. Srovnáním vlivu všech typů obhospodařování na populační strukturu studovaných druhů se ukázalo, že kosení, a u štírovníku také vypalování, jsou rovnocennými náhradami pastvy. Tento závěr je v rozporu s vegetační studií, kde se ukázalo, že pro zachování složení celého společenstva jsou vhodnými typy obhospodařování kosení a mulčování dvakrát ročně.

### References

- Baskin C. C. & Baskin J. M. (1998): Seeds. Ecology, biogeography, and evolution of dormancy and germination. – Academic Press, San Diego.
- Bernhardt-Römermann M., Römermann C., Nuske R., Parth A., Klotz S., Schmidt W. & Stadler J. (2008): On the identification of the most suitable traits for plant functional trait analyses. – Oikos 117: 1533–1541.
- Bissels S., Hözel N. & Otte A. (2004): Population structure of the threatened perennial *Serratula tinctoria* in relation to vegetation and management. – Appl. Veg. Sci. 7: 267–274.

- Bühler C. & Schmid B. (2001): The influence of management regime and altitude on the population structure of *Succisa pratensis*: implications for vegetation monitoring. – J. Appl. Ecol. 38: 689–698.
- Colling G., Matthies D. & Reckinger C. (2002): Population structure and establishment of the threatened, long-lived perennial *Scorzonera humilis* in relation to environment. – J. Appl. Ecol. 39: 310–320.
- Dietz H. & Fattorini M. (2002): Comparative analysis of growth rings in perennial forbs grown in an alpine restoration experiment. – Ann. Bot. 90: 663–668.
- Dietz H. & Ullmann I. (1998): Ecological application of ‘herbchronology’: comparative stand age structure analyses of the invasive plant *Bunias orientalis* L. – Ann. Bot. 82: 471–480.
- Dölle M., Bernhardt-Römermann M., Parth A. & Schmidt W. (2008): Changes in life history trait composition during undisturbed old-field succession. – Flora 203: 508–522.
- Drobnič J., Römermann C., Bernhardt-Römermann M. & Poschlod P. (2011): Adaptation of plant functional group composition to management changes in calcareous grasslands. – Agr. Ecosyst. Env. (in press, doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2010.12.021).
- Endels P., Jacquemyn H., Brys R. & Hermy M. (2004): Impact of management and habitat on demographic traits of *Primula vulgaris* in an agricultural landscape. – Appl. Veg. Sci. 7: 171–182.
- Eriksson O. & Ehrlén J. (1992): Seed and microsite limitation of recruitment in plant populations. – Oecologia 91: 360–364.
- Eriksson O. & Froeborg H. (1996): ‘Windows of opportunity’ for recruitment in long-lived clonal plants: experimental studies of seedling establishment in *Vaccinium* shrubs. – Can. J. Bot. 74: 1369–1374.
- Grunicke U. & Poschlod P. (1991): Phänologische Untersuchungen in beweideten und brachgefallenen Kalkmagerrasen. – Jahrb. Ges. Naturkde. Württemberg 146: 43–84.
- Herranz J. M., Ferrandis P. & Martínez Sanchez J. J. (1999): Influence of heat on seed germination of nine woody *Cistaceae* species. – Int. J. Wildland Fire 9: 173–182.
- Holm S. (1979): A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. – Scand. J. Stat. 6: 65–70.
- Jones D. A. & Turkington R. (1986): Biological flora of the British Isles: *Lotus corniculatus* L. – J. Ecol. 74: 1185–1212.
- Kahmen S. & Poschlod P. (1998): Untersuchungen zu Schutzmöglichkeiten von Arnika (*Arnica montana* L.) durch Pflegemaßnahmen. – Jahrb. Naturschutz in Hessen 3: 225–32.
- Kahmen S. & Poschlod P. (2008a): Effect of grassland management on functional trait composition. – Agr. Ecosyst. Env. 128: 137–145.
- Kahmen S. & Poschlod P. (2008b): Does germination success differ with respect to seed mass and germination season? Experimental testing of plant functional trait responses to grassland management. – Ann. Bot. 101: 541–548.
- Kahmen S., Poschlod P. & Schreiber K.-F. (2002): Conservation management of calcareous grasslands: changes in plant species composition and response of functional traits during 25 years. – Biol. Cons. 104: 319–328.
- Karlík P. & Poschlod P. (2009): History or abiotic filter: which is more important in determining species composition of calcareous grasslands? – Preslia 81: 321–340.
- Kerster H. W. (1968): Population age structure in the prairie forb *Liatris aspera*. – BioScience 18: 430–432.
- Kiefer S. (1998): Untersuchungen zur Wiederherstellung brachgefallener oder aufgefördster Kalkmagerrasen. – Ber. Inst. Landschafts und Pflanzenökologie Univ. Hohenheim, Beih. 7: 1–309.
- Kleijn D. & Steinger T. (2002): Contrasting effects of grazing and hay cutting on the spatial and genetic population structure of *Veratrum album*, an unpalatable, long-lived, clonal plant species. – J. Ecol. 90: 360–370.
- Lienert J., Diemer M. & Schmid B. (2002): Effects of habitat fragmentation on population structure and fitness components of the wetland specialist *Swertia perennis* L. (*Gentianaceae*). – Basic Appl. Ecol. 3: 101–114.
- Mattern H., Wolf R. & Mauk J. (1980): Heiden im Regierungsbezirk Stuttgart: Zwischenbilanz im Jahre 1980. – Veröff. Naturschutz und Landschaftspflege Bad.-Württ. 51/52: 153–165.
- Moog D., Kahmen S., Poschlod P. & Schreiber K.-F. (2002): Comparison of species composition between different grassland managements: 25 years fallow experiment of Baden-Württemberg. – Appl. Veg. Sci. 5: 99–106.
- Moreira B., Tormo J., Estrelles E. & Pausas J. G. (2010): Disentangling the role of heat and smoke as germination cues in Mediterranean Basin flora. – Ann. Bot. 105: 627–635.
- Oostermeijer J. G. B., Brugman M. L., De Boer E. R. & Den Nijs J. C. M. (1996): Temporal and spatial variation in the demography of *Gentiana pneumonanthe*, a rare perennial herb. – J. Ecol. 84: 153–166.
- Oostermeijer J. G. B., Van't Veer R. & Den Nijs J. C. M. (1994): Population structure of the rare, long-lived perennial *Gentiana pneumonanthe* in relation to vegetation and the management in the Netherlands. – J. Appl. Ecol. 31: 428–438.
- Pakeman R. J. (2004): Consistency of plant species and trait responses to grazing along a productivity gradient: a multi-site analysis. – J. Ecol. 92: 893–905.

- Perez-García F. & González-Benito M. E. (2006): Seed germination of five *Helianthemum* species: effect of temperature and presowing treatments. – *J. Arid Env.* 65: 688–693.
- Poschlod P. & Baumann A. (2010): The historical dynamics of calcareous grasslands in the Central and Southern Franconian jurassic mountains: a comparative pedoanthracological and pollen analytical study. – *The Holocene* 20: 13–23.
- Poschlod P., Baumann A. & Karlík P. (2009a): Origin and development of grasslands in central Europe. – In: Veen P., Jefferson R., de Smidt J. & Van der Straaten J. (eds), *Grasslands in Europe of high nature value*, p. 15–25, KNNV Publishing, Zeist.
- Poschlod P., Kiefer S., Tränkle U. & Bonn S. (1998): Plant species richness in calcareous grasslands as affected by dispersability in space and time. – *Appl. Veg. Sci.* 1: 75–90.
- Poschlod P., Schreiber K.-F., Mitlacher K., Römermann C. & Bernhardt-Römermann M. (2009b): Vegetationsökologische Untersuchungen. – In: Schreiber K.-F., Brauckmann H.-J., Broll G., Krebs S. & Poschlod P. (eds), *Landschaftspflege und Naturschutz im Extensivgrünland: 30 Jahre Offenhaltungsversuche Baden-Württemberg*, Naturschutz-Spectrum Themen 97: 243–288.
- Prach K. & Pyšek P. (1994): Clonal plants: what is their role in succession? – *Folia Geobot. Phytotax.* 29: 307–320.
- Rabotnov T. A. (1950): Zhiznennyi cikl mnogoletnich travyanistykh rastenii v lugovych cenozach [The life cycle of perennial herbaceous plants in meadow coenoses]. – *Trudy Bot. Inst. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. III*, 6: 7–204.
- R Development Core Team (2010): R: A language and environment for statistical computing. – R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna.
- Römermann C., Bernhardt-Römermann M., Kleyer M. & Poschlod P. (2009): Substitutes for grazing in semi-natural grasslands: do mowing or mulching represent valuable alternatives to maintain vegetation dynamics? – *J. Veg. Sci.* 20: 1086–1098.
- Roy J. & Sonié L. (1992): Germination and population dynamics of *Cistus* species in relation to fire. – *J. Appl. Ecol.* 29: 647–655.
- Schreiber K.-F. (2009a): Die Offenhaltungsversuche Baden-Württemberg. – In: Schreiber K.-F., Brauckmann H.-J., Broll G., Krebs S. & Poschlod P. (eds), *Landschaftspflege und Naturschutz im Extensivgrünland: 30 Jahre Offenhaltungsversuche Baden-Württemberg*, Naturschutz-Spectrum Themen 97: 15–36.
- Schreiber K.-F. (2009b): Die Versuchsflächen: von Oberstetten bis Mambach. – In: Schreiber K.-F., Brauckmann H.-J., Broll G., Krebs S. & Poschlod P. (eds), *Landschaftspflege und Naturschutz im Extensivgrünland: 30 Jahre Offenhaltungsversuche Baden-Württemberg*, Naturschutz-Spectrum Themen 97: 63–222.
- Schreiber K.-F., Brauckmann H.-J., Broll G., Krebs S. & Poschlod P. (eds) (2009a): *Landschaftspflege und Naturschutz im Extensivgrünland: 30 Jahre Offenhaltungsversuche Baden-Württemberg*. – Naturschutz-Spectrum Themen 97: 1–420.
- Schreiber K.-F., Drobniak J. & Poschlod P. (2009b): Das Kontrollierte Brennen als Pflegemaßnahme von Grünlandbrachen. – *Forstarchiv* 80: 265–279.
- Schweingruber F. & Poschlod P. (2005): Growth rings in herbs and shrubs: life span, age determination and stem anatomy. – *For. Snow Landsc. Res.* 79: 195–415.
- Thanos C., Georgiou K., Kadis C. & Pantazi C. (1992): *Cistaceae*: a plant family with hard seeds. – *Israel J. Bot.* 41: 251–263.
- Valverde T. & Silvertown J. (1998): Variation in the demography of a woodland understorey herb (*Primula vulgaris*) along the forest regeneration cycle: projection matrix analysis. – *J. Ecol.* 86: 545–562.
- Wallis de Vries M. F., Poschlod P. & Willems J. H. (2002): Challenges for the conservation of calcareous grasslands in northwestern Europe: integrating the requirements of flora and fauna. – *Biol. Conserv.* 104: 265–273.
- Watt A. S. (1955): Bracken versus heather, a study in plant sociology. – *J. Ecol.* 43: 490–506.
- Widén B. (1982): Reproductive biology in the *Helianthemum oelandicum* (*Cistaceae*) complex on Öland, Sweden. – PhD thesis, Department of Systematic Botany, Lund University, Lund.

Received 25 November 2010  
Revision received 5 March 2011  
Accepted 14 March 2011